

REMARKS

Favorable reconsideration of this application, as presently amended and in light of the following discussion, is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-15 are currently pending. Claim 11 has been amended by the present amendment. The changes to Claim 11 are supported by the originally filed specification and do not add new matter.


In the outstanding Office Action, the specification was objected to as containing an embedded hyperlink and as containing various informalities; Claims 1, 4, 5, 7, and 9 were objected to as containing various informalities; the drawings were objected to as failing to comply with 37 C.F.R. § 1.84(b)(5) regarding step S10 and Figure 2; the drawings were objected to as failing to comply with 37 C.F.R. § 1.84(b)(4) regarding the characters S1-S9; Claims 1-4 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0088542 to Daude et al. (hereinafter “the ‘542 application”) in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0266420 to Malinen et al. (hereinafter “the ‘420 application”); Claims 5, 7, and 8 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the ‘542 and ‘420 applications, further in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0039240 to Sutanto (hereinafter “the ‘240 application”); Claim 6 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the ‘542, ‘420, and ‘240 applications, further in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0208151 to Haverinen et al. (hereinafter “the ‘151 application”); and Claims 9-15 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the ‘542 application in view of the ‘240 application.

The objections and rejections set forth in the Office Action were addressed in the Amendment filed September 9, 2008, and will not be further addressed herein. In the present supplemental amendment Claim 11 has been amended to address an informality.

Consequently, in view of the above amendment and in light of the above discussion, the outstanding grounds for rejection are believed to have been overcome. The application as amended herewith is believed to be in condition for formal allowance. An early and favorable action to that effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



James J. Kulbaski
Attorney of Record
Registration No. 34,648

Kurt M. Berger, Ph.D.
Registration No. 51,461

Customer Number
22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000
Fax: (703) 413 -2220
(OSMMN 08/07)
!:\ATTY\KMB\267'S\267285us\267285US-SUPP.AM.DOC